## **The Whole Christ**

## By Sinclair Ferguson

## **Lesson 1: Understanding the Issue (Chapter 1)**

<u> </u>	in the church as a way to sharpen the saints. The pages of of this truth. Puritan William Strong (d. 1654) once wrote, " <i>Times of division</i> ."
"I believe that it is not sound and or	rthodox to teach that we forsake sin in order to our coming to Christ, and instating us in covenant with God."
_	th a young Scottish man, William Craig, seeking to be for hterarder in the year 1717. The controversy began with the above-in was asked to comment on.
Craig began to have second thoughts at changed his view on this question the c	atement and was granted a license to be a preacher of the gospel. However, bout this and asked the council if he could reconsider his answer. After he council changed their decision to license him as a preacher of the gospel. It can and the matter then went to the General Assembly of the Church of
Craig was denied his license) was seate	erend John Drummond (one of the minsters from the local presbytery where ed next to Thomas Boston. The two men sat and listened as the General e statement, reversing the decision of the local presbytery. Boston
proposition to be truthconver	as all at once at that diet judged and condemnedalthough I believed the rsing with Mr. John DrummondI happened to give him my sense of the hew 11:28), with the reason thereof; and withal to tell him of the Marrow of
And so, this book that was written in 16	by of this book and agreeing with it began recommending it to everyone.  645 by Edward Fisher, that for the most part had achieved little popularity be center of a debate known as the
pastoral visit and noticed this book as cand found that he could not put it down terms of the gospel and how to	t 20 years before when he was at one of his church members' houses for a one of two books that were sitting on a shelf. He asked to borrow the book at. The book began to illuminate and help clarify for Boston several things in the gospel to others. He liked the book so much he went back to se it from him so that he could keep it. Commenting on the book he wrote,
my books. If found it to come of	ly; and purchased it, at length from the ownerand it is still found among close to the points that I was in quest of and to show the consistency of these, fore; so that I rejoiced in it, as a light which the Lord had seasonably struck
damaging to the church. Therefore, in recommending the book either in preac	of this book. This book was seen by some as containing errors that were the year 1720 the General Assembly "prohibited ministers from hing or writing and from saying anything in its favor. In addition, if they ng it, they were to warn them of its dangers and urge them neither to use it
Despite this ban, Boston decided to have	ye a new edition published with his own notes (under the name of ) in the year 1726.

## What was the issue?

Those who opposed the Marrow (and the above Creed) thought that it promoted a form of
Whereas, those who thought highly of the book thought that those who opposed it were guilty of a subtle form of
The real battle was not over a book though. The book, and creed, brought into the light a bigger issue. How are we to understand the gospel? And how is this gospel to be proclaimed?
"At the root of the matter lay the nature of the grace of God in the gospel and how it should be preached."
The Marrow of Modern Divinity
By Edward Fisher (notes by Thomas Boston)
Preface
"The gospel method of sanctification, as well as of justification, lies so far out of the ken of natural reason, that if all the rationalists in the world, philosophers and divines, had consulted together to lay down a plan for repairing the lost image of God in man, they had never hit upon that which the divine wisdom has pitched upon, viz: that sinners should be sanctified in Christ Jesus (1 Cor. 1:2), by faith in Him, (Acts 26:18), nay, being laid before them, they would have rejected it with disdain, as foolishness (1 Cor. 1:23)."
"In all views which fallen man has towards the means of his own recovery, the natural bent is to the way of the covenant of worksAll agree in this one principle, that it is by doing men must live, though they hugely differ as to the things to be done for life."
Chapter 1-The Law of Works (the Covenant of Works)
This book is written as a conversation between four people. Nomista ( Christian) brings his friends, Neophytus ( Christian) and Antinomista ( Christian) to talk with Evangelista (a pastor and a proclaimer of the gospel).
The book begins as Nomista says that these three friends are having a dispute about the To which Evangelist asks "What law do you mean?". This question confuses the three and so he explains that in the Scripture there are three different "laws"-The law of, the law of, and the law of This book is divided up into three chapters-one dealing with each of these and then a fourth concluding chapter.
Evan. "You see the law of works is as much to say, the covenant of works; the which covenant the Lord made with all mankind in Adam before the fall; the sum whereof was, 'Do this, and thou shalt live."
Evangelista explains that this covenant of works was the with a positive law added that was an application of the moral law to the specific context of Adam and Eve in the Garden. He says, "Adam heard as much of the law in the garden, as Israel did at Sinai; but only in fewer words, and without thunder."
Nom. "But, sir, methinks it is a strange thing that so small an offence, as eating of the forbidden fruit seems to be, should plunge the whole of mankind into such a gulf of misery."
Evan. "Though at first glance it seems to be a small offence, yet if we look more earnestly upon the matter it will appear to be an exceeding great offenceFirst, His dominion and authority in His holy command was violated. Secondly, His justice, truth, and power, in His most righteous threatenings were despised. Thirdly, His most pure

and perfect image, wherein man was created in righteousness and true holiness was utterly defaced. Fourthly, His

glory, which by an active service, the creature should have brought to Him, was lost and despoiled."